

LAGRANGIAN FIBRATIONS ON GENERALIZED KUMMER VARIETIES

MARTIN G. GULBRANDSEN

ABSTRACT. We investigate the existence of Lagrangian fibrations on the generalized Kummer varieties of Beauville. For a principally polarized abelian surface A of Picard number one we find the following: The Kummer variety $K^n A$ is birationally equivalent to another irreducible symplectic variety admitting a Lagrangian fibration, if and only if n is a perfect square. And this is the case if and only if $K^n A$ carries a divisor with vanishing Beauville-Bogomolov square.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X denote a projective irreducible symplectic variety of dimension $2n$. We refer the reader to Huybrechts [3] for definitions and general background material. Matsushita [5, 6] studied *fibrations* of X , that is, proper maps

$$(1) \quad f: X \rightarrow B,$$

such that a generic fibre is connected and has positive dimension. Assuming B to be projective and nonsingular, Matsushita showed that B has dimension n , its Hodge numbers agree with those of $\mathbb{P}^n$, and furthermore, a generic fibre of f is a Lagrangian subvariety of X of pure dimension n . It is a conjecture that B is in fact isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^n$.

The setup can be generalized slightly:

Definition 1.1. With X and B as above, a *rational fibration* of X over B is a rational map

$$f: X \dashrightarrow B$$

such that there exists another projective irreducible symplectic variety X' and a birational equivalence $g: X' \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ such that the composition $f \circ g$ is a (regular) fibration of X' over B .

A basic tool in the study of irreducible symplectic varieties is the *Beauville-Bogomolov form*, which is an integral quadratic form q on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, satisfying

$$q(\alpha)^n = c \deg(\alpha^{2n})$$

for some constant c . A birational map between irreducible symplectic varieties induces an isomorphism on $H^2(-, \mathbb{Z})$, compatible with the Beauville-Bogomolov forms. It follows that in the situation of definition

1.1, the pullback $D = f^*H$ of any divisor H on B satisfies $q(D) = 0$. Conversely, one may ask:

Question 1.2. *Suppose X carries a divisor D with vanishing Beauville-Bogomolov square. Does X admit a rational fibration over $\mathbb{P}^n$?*

One may try to answer the question for the known examples of projective irreducible symplectic varieties. There are two standard series of examples, both due to Beauville [1]: The first is the Hilbert scheme $S^{[n]}$ (of dimension $2n$) parametrizing finite subschemes of length n of a K3 surface S . The second is the (generalized) *Kummer variety* $K^n A$ (of dimension $2n - 2$) associated to an abelian surface A , defined as the fibre of the map

$$(2) \quad \sigma: A^{[n]} \rightarrow A.$$

induced by the group law on A . The map σ is locally trivial in the étale topology, and in particular all fibers are isomorphic. So there is no ambiguity in this definition. Recently, Sawon [10] and Markushevich [4] answered question 1.2 in the affirmative for the Hilbert scheme $S^{[n]}$ of a generic K3 surface. In this text, we consider the case of the Kummer varieties. We obtain:

Theorem 1.3. *Let A be an abelian surface carrying a curve $C \subset A$ of genus $n + 1$ with $n > 2$. Then the Kummer variety $K^n A$ admits a rational fibration*

$$(3) \quad f: K^n A \dashrightarrow |\widehat{C}| \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

where $\widehat{C} \in \text{Pic}(\widehat{A})$ is a canonically defined dual divisor class.

The divisor class $\widehat{C}$ is defined in example 2.4. The theorem is proved in section 3. We have the following corollary, which answers question 1.2 in the affirmative for the Kummer varieties associated to a generic principally polarized abelian surface, and which is proved in section 3.5:

Corollary 1.4. *If the abelian surface A has Picard number one and admits a principal polarization, then the following are equivalent, for each $n > 2$:*

- (1) *The Kummer variety $K^n A$ admits a rational fibration over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.*
- (2) *$K^n A$ carries a divisor with vanishing Beauville-Bogomolov square.*
- (3) *n is a perfect square.*

Our work has been carried out independently of the works of Sawon and Markushevich, but the construction is similar, except that the Kummer variety case is easier, and doesn't require the use of moduli spaces of twisted sheaves.

I would like to thank Geir Ellingsrud for numerous fruitful discussions, and Manfred Lehn for introducing me to the question of existence of Lagrangian fibrations.

2. PREPARATION

We work in the category of noetherian schemes over $\mathbb{C}$. By a map of schemes we mean a morphism in this category. By a sheaf on a scheme X we mean a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

If A is an abelian variety, we denote the identity element for the group law on A by 0, and if a is a point on A , we write $T_a: A \rightarrow A$ for translation by a . We write $\hat{A}$ for the dual abelian variety. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_x$ the homogeneous line bundle on A corresponding to a point $x \in \hat{A}$. If D is a divisor on A , we denote by

$$\phi_D: A \rightarrow \hat{A}$$

the map that takes a point $a \in A$ to the divisor $T_a^*D - D$.

In this section, we recall a few results from the literature.

2.1. The Fourier-Mukai transform. Let $X \rightarrow T$ be an abelian scheme over T , and let $\hat{X} \rightarrow T$ denote its dual abelian scheme. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the Poincaré line bundle on $X \times_T \hat{X}$, normalized such that the restrictions of $\mathcal{P}$ to $X \times 0$ and $0 \times \hat{X}$ are trivial. Let

$$X \xleftarrow{p} X \times_T \hat{X} \xrightarrow{q} \hat{X}$$

denote the two projections.

Following Mukai [7, 8] we define a functor $\hat{S}$ from the category of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules to the category of $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$ -modules by

$$\hat{S}(\mathcal{E}) = q_*(p^*(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{P}).$$

Reversing the roles of X and $\hat{X}$, we get a functor S taking $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$ -modules to $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.

Definition 2.1. An $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies the *weak index theorem* (WIT) with index i if

$$R^p \hat{S}(\mathcal{E}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } p \neq i.$$

The *Fourier-Mukai transform* of such a sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ is the $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$ -module

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = R^i \hat{S}(\mathcal{E}).$$

For each $t \in T$, we may view $\mathcal{E} \otimes k(t)$ as a sheaf on the fibre X_t , which is an abelian variety. We have the following base change result:

Theorem 2.2 (Mukai [8]). *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a sheaf on $X \rightarrow T$, flat over T . The locus of points $t \in T$ such that $\mathcal{E} \otimes k(t)$ satisfies WIT is open. If $\mathcal{E} \otimes k(t)$ satisfies WIT with index i for all $t \in T$, then $\mathcal{E}$ also satisfies WIT with index i , $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is flat over T and we have*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E} \otimes k(t)} \cong \hat{\mathcal{E}} \otimes k(t)$$

for all $t \in T$.

We will apply this only in the case $X = A \times T$, where A is an abelian surface, and view $\mathcal{E}$ as a family of sheaves on A parametrized by T .

Mukai's discovery was the following:

Theorem 2.3 (Mukai [7]). *Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g . The functor $\widehat{S}$ induces an equivalence of derived categories*

$$R\widehat{S}: D(A) \rightarrow D(\widehat{A})$$

with quasi-inverse taking a complex $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ to $(-1)_A^* RS(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)[g]$.

In particular, if $\mathcal{E}$ is a sheaf on A satisfying WIT with index i , then $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ also satisfies WIT, with index $g-i$, and we have a natural isomorphism

$$\widehat{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}} \cong (-1)_A^* \mathcal{E}.$$

A similar statement holds for an arbitrary abelian scheme [8], but we will not need this.

Mukai [8] also calculated the Chern character in $H^*(\widehat{A}, \mathbb{Z})$ of $R\widehat{S}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)$ in terms of the Chern character of the complex $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$: There is a canonical duality between the cohomology groups of A and those of $\widehat{A}$. Thus, using Poincaré duality, we may identify

$$(4) \quad H^p(\widehat{A}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{2g-p}(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Writing ch^p for the $2p$ 'th component of the Chern character, and suppressing the isomorphism (4), Mukai found

$$(5) \quad ch^p(R\widehat{S}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)) = (-1)^p ch^{g-p}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet).$$

In particular, whenever $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies WIT with index i , we have

$$(6) \quad ch^p(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}) = (-1)^{i+p} ch^{g-p}(\mathcal{E}).$$

We remark that on an abelian surface, the components of the Chern character are the rank, the first Chern class and the Euler characteristic:

$$ch^0(\mathcal{E}) = r(\mathcal{E}) \quad ch^1(\mathcal{E}) = c_1(\mathcal{E}) \quad ch^2(\mathcal{E}) = \chi(\mathcal{E})$$

Example 2.4. Let $C \subset A$ be an effective curve of genus $g > 1$ on an abelian surface. By [9, sec. 16], we have

$$H^p(A, \mathcal{P}_x(C)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } p > 0 \text{ and all } x \in \widehat{A}.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{O}_A(C)$ satisfies WIT with index 0, and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_A(C)}$ is locally free on $\widehat{A}$. Applying formula (6), we see that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_A(C)}$ has rank equal to $\chi(\mathcal{O}_A(C)) = g - 1$ and Euler characteristic 1, whereas under the isomorphism (4), we have

$$c_1(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_A(C)}) = -[C].$$

Thus, defining $\widehat{C} \in \text{Pic}(\widehat{A})$ to be the divisor class such that

$$\mathcal{O}_A(-\widehat{C}) \cong \det \widehat{\mathcal{O}_A(C)},$$

we see that $[C]$ corresponds to $[\widehat{C}]$ under the isomorphism (4).

2.2. Moduli of sheaves on an abelian surface. Let A be an abelian surface and fix a polarization H . By a (semi-) stable sheaf on A we will mean a Gieseker (semi-) stable sheaf with respect to H . Fixing a rank $r \geq 0$, first Chern class $c_1 \in \text{NS}(A)$ and Euler characteristic χ , we denote by $M_A(r, c_1, \chi)$ the Simpson moduli space of stable sheaves with the given invariants. In the cases of interest to us, stability and semi-stability will be equivalent, so that $M_A(r, c_1, \chi)$ is going to be projective.

We will in fact only consider sheaves of rank one or zero, so we note that every torsion free sheaf of rank one is stable, whereas in the rank zero case, Riemann-Roch gives the following condition: A pure one dimensional sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on A is stable if and only if we have

$$(7) \quad \frac{\chi(\mathcal{F})}{\deg_H(\mathcal{F})} < \frac{\chi(\mathcal{E})}{\deg_H(\mathcal{E})}$$

for every non trivial proper subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$.

Yoshioka [11] defines a (regular) map

$$(8) \quad \alpha: M_A(r, c_1, \chi) \rightarrow A \times \widehat{A}$$

that can be described at the level of sets as follows, except that we take the liberty to make a sign change: Choose a representative $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(A)$ in the class c_1 , and also a representative $\mathcal{L}' \in \text{Pic}(\widehat{A})$ in the class corresponding to c_1 via Poincaré duality (4). Then define $\alpha = (\delta, \widehat{\delta})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathcal{F}) &= \det(R\widehat{S}(\mathcal{F}))^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}'^{-1} \\ \widehat{\delta}(\mathcal{F}) &= \det(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\widehat{\delta}(\mathcal{F})$ is an element of $\text{Pic}^0(A) = \widehat{A}$ and, by (5), $\delta(\mathcal{F})$ is an element of $\text{Pic}^0(\widehat{A}) = A$.

Whenever $\dim M_A(r, c_1, \chi) \geq 8$, Yoshioka obtains the following:

Theorem 2.5 (Yoshioka [11]). *Assume the polarization H is generic.*

- (1) $M_A(r, c_1, \chi)$ is deformation equivalent to $A^{[n]} \times \widehat{A}$ for suitable n .
- (2) The map α in (8) is locally trivial in the étale topology.
- (3) A fibre $K_A(r, c_1, \chi)$ of the map α is deformation equivalent to the Kummer variety $K^n A$. In particular, $K_A(r, c_1, \chi)$ is an irreducible symplectic variety.

As we will be free to choose the polarization H arbitrarily, the genericity hypothesis will not be of importance to us. We remark, however, that in the case where A has Picard number one, every polarization is generic.

2.3. The Beauville-Bogomolov form on Kummer varieties. The Beauville-Bogomolov form can be explicitly described in the case of Kummer varieties. The description of $H^2(K^n A, \mathbb{C})$ is due to Beauville [1], and the calculation of the Beauville-Bogomolov form can be found in [11, sec. 4.3.1] or [2, prop. 1].

Firstly, there is a canonical monomorphism

$$(9) \quad H^2(A, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(K^n A, \mathbb{C})$$

which is compatible with the Hodge structure. Secondly, there is a primitive integral class $\epsilon \in H^2(K^n A, \mathbb{C})$ such that 2ϵ is the fundamental class of the locus $E \subset K^n A$ consisting of non reduced subschemes. Thus ϵ is a $(1, 1)$ -class. Together, $H^2(A, \mathbb{C})$ and ϵ generate $H^2(K^n A, \mathbb{C})$. In fact, we have:

Proposition 2.6. *There is a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(K^n A, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^2(A, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}\epsilon$$

which is orthogonal with respect to the Beauville-Bogomolov form q . Furthermore, the restriction of q to $H^2(A, \mathbb{C})$ is the intersection form on A , whereas

$$q(\epsilon) = -2n.$$

We are interested in classes in $H^2(K^n A, \mathbb{C})$ coming from divisors, that is, the Neron-Severi group $NS(K^n A)$. Since the inclusion (9) is compatible with the Hodge structure, and ϵ is a primitive $(1, 1)$ -class, we find

$$(10) \quad NS(K^n A) \cong NS(A) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\epsilon,$$

by the Lefschetz theorem on $(1, 1)$ -classes.

3. CONSTRUCTION

Consider the setup of theorem 1.3, that is, we have a curve $C \subset A$ of genus $n + 1$ on an abelian surface A .

To construct the fibration in theorem 1.3, we want to associate to each $\xi \in A^{[n]}$ a curve in a certain linear system. As a first try, one might ask whether there exists a curve in the linear system $|C|$ containing ξ . This turns out to be too restrictive:

Lemma 3.1. *A generic element $\xi \in A^{[n]}$ is not contained in any curve in the linear system $|C|$.*

Proof. As we have seen in example 2.4, we have

$$H^p(A, \mathcal{O}_A(C)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } p > 0$$

and thus, by Riemann Roch,

$$\dim H^0(A, \mathcal{O}_A(C)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_A(C)) = n.$$

Thus the complete linear system $|C|$ has dimension $n - 1$. It follows that the set of subschemes $\xi \in A^{[n]}$ contained in a curve in $|C|$ forms

a family of dimension $2n - 1$. On the other hand, $A^{[n]}$ has dimension $2n$. $\square$

Let us, starting from the observation in the lemma, sketch our construction: By allowing not only curves in $|C|$, but in the linear systems associated to $\mathcal{P}_x(C)$ for any $x \in \widehat{A}$, we see that we “win” two more degrees of freedom: The set of length n subschemes contained in a curve in $|\mathcal{P}_x(C)|$, for some $x \in \widehat{A}$, forms a family of dimension $2n + 1$. Since, again, $A^{[n]}$ has dimension $2n$, we expect the locus

$$(11) \quad D_\xi = \{x \in \widehat{A} \mid H^0(A, \mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x(C)) \neq 0\}$$

to be a curve. We will see that this is indeed true for generic ξ , and furthermore, when ξ is a generic element of the Kummer variety $K^n A$, the curve D_ξ belongs to the linear system $|\widehat{C}|$. The fibration f in theorem 1.3 is given by sending ξ to D_ξ .

More precisely we will see that, for generic $\xi \in K^n A$, the sheaf $\mathcal{I}_\xi(C)$ satisfies WIT with index 1. Sending ξ to the Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{I}_\xi(C)}$ induces a birational equivalence

$$(12) \quad K^n A \widetilde{\dashrightarrow} K_{\widehat{A}}(0, [\widehat{C}], -1)$$

where the target space is the symplectic variety introduced in Yoshioka’s theorem 2.5. The sheaves parametrized by $K_{\widehat{A}}(0, [\widehat{C}], -1)$ are supported on curves in the linear system $|\widehat{C}|$, and sending a sheaf to its support defines a map

$$(13) \quad K_{\widehat{A}}(0, [\widehat{C}], -1) \rightarrow |\widehat{C}|.$$

The composition of the two maps (12) and (13) again gives us the fibration of theorem 1.3. We remark that the support of $\widehat{\mathcal{I}_\xi(C)}$ is precisely the curve D_ξ in (11). In fact, the fibers of $\widehat{\mathcal{I}_\xi(C)}$ are the vector spaces

$$\widehat{\mathcal{I}_\xi(C)} \otimes k(x) \cong H^1(A, \mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x(C))$$

which vanish precisely when $H^0(A, \mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x(C))$ vanish, since both the Euler characteristic and the second cohomology of $\mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x(C)$ is zero.

It turns out to be convenient to extend the setup as follows: We will first see that there is a natural identification $A^{[n]} \times \widehat{A} \cong M_A(1, [C], 0)$ in such a way that the Kummer variety is recovered as the fibers of the map

$$\alpha: M_A(1, [C], 0) \rightarrow A \times \widehat{A}$$

introduced in section 2.2. Then we will construct a commutative diagram

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} M_A(1, [C], 0) & \xrightarrow{G} & M_{\widehat{A}}(0, [\widehat{C}], -1) & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \\ A \times \widehat{A} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \widehat{A} \times A & \longrightarrow & \widehat{A} \end{array}$$

where G is a birational map induced by the Fourier-Mukai transform, ψ is an isomorphism and $P \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ is a projective bundle with the complete linear system associated to $\mathcal{P}_x(C)$ as the fibre over x . Restricting the whole diagram to a fibre, we recover the maps (12) and (13).

3.1. Rank one sheaves and the Hilbert scheme. As usual, $A^{[n]}$ can be regarded as a moduli space of rank one sheaves on A . More precisely, there is an isomorphism

$$(15) \quad A^{[n]} \times \widehat{A} \cong M_A(1, 0, -n)$$

which, on the level of sets, is given by the map

$$(\xi, x) \mapsto \mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x.$$

By twisting with C , we can furthermore identify $M_A(1, 0, -n)$ with $M_A(1, [C], 0)$. Including the isomorphism (15), we can thus identify

$$A^{[n]} \times \widehat{A} \cong M_A(1, [C], 0).$$

We want to describe the composition

$$A^{[n]} \times \widehat{A} \cong M_A(1, [C], 0) \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \times \widehat{A}$$

where α is the map (8) of Yoshioka. Recall that to define α , we must choose invertible sheaves $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}'$ representing $c_1 = [C]$ on A and on $\widehat{A}$, respectively. By example 2.4, we have the natural choices

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_A(C) \quad \mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(\widehat{C}),$$

and then we have:

Lemma 3.2. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{[n]} \times \widehat{A} & \cong & M_A(1, [C], 0) \\ \downarrow \sigma \times 1_{\widehat{A}} & & \downarrow \alpha \\ A \times \widehat{A} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & A \times \widehat{A} \end{array}$$

is commutative, where θ is the isogeny

$$\theta(a, x) = (a + \phi_{\widehat{C}}(x), x).$$

In particular, the fibers $K^n A$ on the left are taken isomorphically to the fibers $K_A(1, [C], 0)$ on the right.

Proof. Let us, for the sake of readability, use additive notation in the Picard groups. Firstly, we have

$$\widehat{\delta}(\mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x(C)) = \det(\mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x(C)) + \mathcal{O}_A(-C) = \mathcal{P}_x.$$

Secondly, applying the Fourier-Mukai functor to the short exact sequence

$$(16) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_x(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\xi \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{S}(\mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x(C)) \rightarrow \widehat{S}(\mathcal{P}_x(C)) \rightarrow \widehat{S}(\mathcal{O}_\xi) \rightarrow R^1 \widehat{S}(\mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x(C)) \rightarrow 0,$$

since $\mathcal{P}_x(C)$ satisfies WIT with index 0, as in example 2.4. Thus we have

$$\delta(\mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x(C)) = -\det \widehat{S}(\mathcal{P}_x(C)) + \det \widehat{S}(\mathcal{O}_\xi) + \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(-\widehat{C}).$$

By direct computation, we find that the Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{S}(\mathcal{O}_\xi)$ is the direct sum $\bigoplus_{a \in \xi} \mathcal{P}_a$, where the points $a \in \xi$ should be repeated according to their multiplicity. Hence

$$\det(\widehat{S}(\mathcal{O}_\xi)) = \mathcal{P}_{\sigma(\xi)}$$

where σ is the summation map (2). Furthermore, by [7, sec. 3.1], tensoring with $\mathcal{P}_x$ before applying $\widehat{S}$ is the same thing as translating with x after applying $\widehat{S}$, and hence

$$\det(\widehat{S}(\mathcal{P}_x(C))) = \mathcal{O}_A(-T_x^* \widehat{C})$$

by the definition of $\widehat{C}$ in example 2.4. Thus

$$\delta(\mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\sigma(\xi)}(C)) = \mathcal{P}_{\sigma(\xi)} + \mathcal{O}_A(T_x^* \widehat{C} - \widehat{C}).$$

More concisely, we may write this as

$$\alpha(\mathcal{I}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{P}_x(C)) = (\sigma(\xi) + \phi_{\widehat{C}}(x), x).$$

which is what we wanted to prove. $\square$

3.2. The weak index property.

Lemma 3.3. *The (open) locus of sheaves $\mathcal{E} \in M_A(1, [C], 0)$ satisfying WIT with index 1 is non empty. In fact, there exists WIT sheaves in every fibre $K_A(1, [C], 0)$ of α .*

Proof. By [7, sec. 3.1], the operations of translation and twisting by a homogeneous line bundle

$$\mathcal{E} \mapsto T_a^* \mathcal{E}, \quad \mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_x$$

do not affect the WIT'ness of a sheaf $\mathcal{E}$. Thus, it is enough to prove the existence of a WIT sheaf in $M_A(1, [C], 0)$, since we can move such a sheaf to any fibre of α by translating and twisting.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{I}_\xi(C)$. We have

$$H^2(\widehat{A}, \mathcal{I}_\xi(C) \otimes \mathcal{P}_x) = 0$$

for all $x \in \widehat{A}$, for instance by the short exact sequence (16), so $R^2\widehat{S}(\mathcal{I}_\xi(C)) = 0$.

Furthermore, by lemma 3.1, we have

$$H^0(A, \mathcal{I}_\xi(C)) = 0$$

for generic ξ . But $\widehat{S}(\mathcal{I}_\xi(C))$ is torsion free, hence we conclude that $\widehat{S}(\mathcal{I}_\xi(C)) = 0$ for generic ξ . Thus $\mathcal{I}_\xi(C)$ satisfies WIT with index 1. $\square$

3.3. Stability.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a sheaf in $M(1, [C], 0)$ satisfying WIT with index 1. Then the Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ is stable with respect to any polarization of $\widehat{A}$.*

Proof. We first show that $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ is pure. Being the Fourier-Mukai transform of a WIT sheaf with index 1, $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ itself satisfies WIT with index 1. It has rank zero and first Chern class $[\widehat{C}] \neq 0$, hence it is one dimensional. If $\mathcal{T} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ is a zero dimensional subsheaf, then $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies WIT with index 0, but

$$S(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq S(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$$

and hence $\mathcal{T} = 0$. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ is pure of dimension 1.

Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ were a destabilizing subsheaf. Then $\mathcal{F}$ also satisfies WIT with index 1. Since its support, defined by the Fitting ideal, is contained in the support of $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$, and the degree of a one dimensional sheaf is given by intersecting its (Fitting) support with the polarization, we have

$$\deg(\mathcal{F}) \leq \deg(\widehat{\mathcal{E}})$$

with respect to any polarization of $\widehat{A}$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{F}$ is destabilizing, we have by (7)

$$\frac{\chi(\mathcal{F})}{\deg(\mathcal{F})} > \frac{\chi(\widehat{\mathcal{E}})}{\deg(\widehat{\mathcal{E}})}$$

and thus

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}) > \chi(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}) = -1.$$

Since the Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ has rank $-\chi(\mathcal{F}) < 1$ by equation (6), it must be a torsion sheaf. Now, applying the Fourier-Mukai functor to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{E}}/\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain a left exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}/\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}} \cong (-1)^*\mathcal{E}$$

where theorem 2.3 is applied to obtain the isomorphism on the right. But both $S(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}/\mathcal{F})$ and $(-1)^*\mathcal{E}$ are torsion free, hence it is impossible for

the middle term $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ to be torsion. Thus we have reached a contradiction. $\square$

We are now ready to construct the leftmost square in diagram (14): Let $U \subset M_A(1, [C], 0)$ denote the set of sheaves satisfying WIT with index 1. By theorem 2.2, U is open, and by lemma 3.3, U is non empty. Let $\mathcal{U}$ denote the restriction of the universal family on $M_A(1, [C], 0)$ to U . Applying theorem 2.2 again, $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies WIT with index 1, and its Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ is a flat family of sheaves on $\widehat{A}$ parametrized by U . The fibers of $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ are stable by lemma 3.4, and by equation (6) they have rank one, first Chern class $[\widehat{C}]$ and Euler characteristic -1 . Thus there is an induced rational map

$$G: M_A(1, [C], 0) \dashrightarrow M_{\widehat{A}}(0, [\widehat{C}], -1)$$

which is regular on U . In fact, by theorem 2.3, the restriction of G to U is an isomorphism. As $M_{\widehat{A}}(0, [\widehat{C}], -1)$ is irreducible by theorem 2.5, G is birational. Let us verify that G fits into the diagram (14), i.e. we check the commutativity of the leftmost square. So let $\mathcal{E}$ be a sheaf in $M_A(1, [C], 0)$ satisfying WIT with index 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\mathcal{E}) &= \det(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(-\widehat{C}) \\ \widehat{\delta}(\mathcal{E}) &= \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_A(-C)\end{aligned}$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}) &= \det(\widehat{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_A(-C) = (-1)_A^* \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_A(-C) \\ \widehat{\delta}(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}) &= \det(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(-\widehat{C}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that, defining the map ψ in diagram (14) by

$$\psi(a, x) = (-x, a) + ((-1)^*C - C, 0),$$

the left square in that diagram commutes. Since, by lemma 3.3, no fibre $K_A(1, [C], 0)$ of α is contained in the base locus of G , we conclude that G restricts to a birational equivalence

$$g: K_A(1, [C], 0) \dashrightarrow K_{\widehat{A}}(0, [\widehat{C}], -1).$$

3.4. The fibration. Continuing example 2.4, note that, by the base change theorem, the fibre of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_A(C)}$ over $x \in \widehat{A}$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^0(A, \mathcal{P}_x(C))$. Thus, the associated projective bundle

$$(17) \quad P = \mathbb{P} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_A(C)}^\vee \right) \rightarrow \widehat{A}$$

has the complete linear systems associated to $\mathcal{P}_x(C)$ as fibers.

The Fitting ideal of a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ in $M_{\widehat{A}}(0, [\widehat{C}], -1)$ defines a curve representing the first Chern class of $\mathcal{F}$, and hence a point in the bundle P . The map of sets

$$(18) \quad F: M_{\widehat{A}}(0, [\widehat{C}], -1) \rightarrow P$$

thus obtained is in fact a (regular) map of varieties, since formation of the Fitting ideal commutes with base change. Clearly, F fits into diagram (14), making its rightmost square commute. Thus, restricting F to the fibre $K_{\widehat{A}}(0, [\widehat{C}], -1)$ above zero in $A \times \widehat{A}$, we find a map

$$(19) \quad f: K_{\widehat{A}}(0, [\widehat{C}], -1) \rightarrow |\widehat{C}|.$$

We claim that f is a fibration, i.e. a generic fibre is connected. For this, let $D \in |\widehat{C}|$ be a nonsingular curve. Viewing D as a point in P , the fibre $F^{-1}(D)$ is just the Jacobian J^{n-1} of D , parametrizing invertible sheaves of degree $n-1$ on D . The restriction of α to J^{n-1} can be identified with the summation map

$$(20) \quad J^{n-1} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$$

sending a divisor $\sum n_i p_i$ on D to the point $\sum n_i p_i$ on A , using the group law on $\widehat{A}$. Thus, the fibre of f above D equals a fibre of the map (20), which is connected. This concludes the proof of theorem 1.3.

3.5. Principally polarized surfaces. Let (A, H) satisfy the conditions of corollary 1.4. The implication (1) $\implies$ (2) is automatic, as explained in the introduction. For the implication (2) $\implies$ (3), suppose $K^n A$ admits a divisor D with vanishing Beauville-Bogomolov square, corresponding to $rH + s\epsilon$ under the isomorphism (10). Then

$$0 = q(D) = (rH)^2 + s^2 q(\epsilon) = 2r^2 - 2s^2 n$$

from which it is immediate that n is a perfect square.

Finally, the implication (3) $\implies$ (1) follows from theorem 1.3: If $n = m^2$ is a perfect square, the effective curve $C = mH$ has genus $n+1$, and hence the theorem applies. Corollary 1.4 is proved.

4. ON THE BASE LOCUS

We still consider a principally polarized abelian surface (A, H) and a perfect square $n = m^2$. Then there does exist $\xi \in A^{[n]}$ not satisfying WIT: One can check that this is the case whenever $\xi \in A^{[n]}$ is contained in some translate $T_a^{-1}(H)$ of the polarization. Preliminary investigation suggests that for $n = 4$, the birational equivalence (12) induced by the Fourier-Mukai transform is a Mukai elementary transformation, which cannot be extended to an isomorphism. We will return to this question in a later paper.

REFERENCES

1. Arnaud Beauville, *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 4, 755–782 (1984).
2. Michael Britze, *On the cohomology of generalized Kummer varieties*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität zu Köln, 2002.

3. Daniel Huybrechts, *Compact hyperkähler manifolds*, Calabi-Yau manifolds and related geometries, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003, Lectures from the Summer School held in Nordfjordeid, June 2001, pp. 161–225.
4. Dimitri Markushevich, *Rational Lagrangian fibrations on punctual Hilbert schemes of $K3$ surfaces*, arXiv:math.AG/0509346.
5. Daisuke Matsushita, *On fibre space structures of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold*, Topology **38** (1999), no. 1, 79–83.
6. ———, *Holomorphic symplectic manifolds and Lagrangian fibrations*, Acta Appl. Math. **75** (2003), no. 1-3, 117–123, Monodromy and differential equations (Moscow, 2001).
7. Shigeru Mukai, *Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves*, Nagoya Math. J. **81** (1981), 153–175.
8. ———, *Fourier functor and its application to the moduli of bundles on an abelian variety*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 515–550.
9. David Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 5, Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1970.
10. Justin Sawon, *Lagrangian fibrations on Hilbert schemes of points on $K3$ surfaces*, arXiv:math.AG/0509224.
11. Kōta Yoshioka, *Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces*, Math. Ann. **321** (2001), no. 4, 817–884.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. Box 1053, NO-0316 OSLO, NORWAY
E-mail address: martingu@math.uio.no